

**Department of Health and Human Services**  
**Division of Mental Health and Developmental Services**  
**Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Agency (SAPTA)<sup>1</sup>**  
**Strategic Prevention Framework**  
**State Incentive Grant (SPF SIG) Summary Sheet**  
January 2007

**Overview**

- The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) awarded the state of Nevada the SPF SIG in 2004 in the amount of \$2,350,965 per year for five years.
- The SPF SIG is an infrastructure grant that focuses on reducing alcohol-related motor vehicle fatalities (ARMVF) among 16-24 year-olds. The method to accomplish this is through the implementation of the Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). This model requires assessment of data related to substance abuse problems to determine the consequences that place the greatest burden on the state.
- The SPF SIG is population-based and therefore encourages evidence-based environmental strategies and also the implementation of effective programs, practices, policies, and strategies.
- This project is a complex and new way of conducting prevention planning, service implementation, and evaluation, both at the national level and in Nevada.
- The emphasis of the SPF SIG is on data-driven decision-making and outcomes-based prevention, which sets the SPF SIG apart from previous grants.

**Roles of State Advisory Committee (SAC) and the SIG Epidemiological Workgroup (SEW)**

- For the past two years, since Nevada was awarded the SPF SIG, the state has been conducting analysis and planning for the grant. The decision-making bodies for these processes have been the SAC and the SEW.
- The SEW and the SAC, based on data collected and analyzed by SAPTA staff, identified priority consequence and associated consumption data that reflect the highest burden of substance abuse prevention needs in the state. The SEW and SAPTA identified the highest need areas by applying a data prioritization process.
- Based on the prioritization process, SAPTA developed the SPF SIG Strategic Plan, which outlined Nevada's priorities and logic model. The SPF SIG Strategic Plan was reviewed and approved by the SEW, SAC, and the federal government in late 2006.

**Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF) Model**

- The foundation of the SPF SIG is the Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF), a five-step planning model. Grantees are required to complete the following five steps:
  - ✓ Assessment
  - ✓ Capacity
  - ✓ Planning
  - ✓ Implementation
  - ✓ Evaluation

---

<sup>1</sup> The Division of Mental Health and Developmental Services (MHDS), Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Agency (SAPTA), was previously known as the Health Division, Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Abuse (BADA).

- The SPF model provides the structure and process for the project, and institutes the following:
  - ✓ Systematic, analytical thinking to the causes and consequences of the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs in order to effectively and efficiently utilize prevention resources.
  - ✓ A population-based public health approach that considers an entire range of factors that determine health.
  - ✓ Outcomes-based prevention: The state and communities will track outcomes related to the priorities of the grant. Nevada communities will increase their ability to achieve outcomes by basing project and funding decisions on data and implementing evidence-based interventions in response to prioritized need. This will make an impact on the negative consequences of substance abuse both to the community and the individual people whose lives are devastated by the problems associated with substance abuse.

### **Funding**

- The SPF SIG is a five-year grant (2004-2009). Nevada was awarded a total of \$2,350,965 per year. 80% of the total funding will be distributed to communities throughout the state per year.
- Community funding will be distributed to the existing thirteen substance abuse prevention coalitions.

### **SPF SIG Goals**

- Prevent the onset and reduce the progression of substance abuse, including childhood and underage drinking.
- Reduce substance abuse-related problems in communities.
- Build prevention capacity and infrastructure at the state and community levels.

### **Nevada SPF SIG Priorities (Consequence and Consumption Patterns)**

- To reduce the number of alcohol-related motor vehicle fatalities (ARMVF) in Nevada.
- The consumption patterns that will be focused on relate to underage and young adult drinking. The consumption patterns will include:
  - ✓ To reduce underage: intoxication, binge drinking, driving under the influence (DUI), and riding with an impaired driver
  - ✓ To reduce young adult: heavy alcoholic drinking, binge drinking, and DUI
- The target population is 16-24 year-olds.

### **SPF SIG Intervening Variables (Causal Factors)**

- Coalitions will use data to determine which intervening variables they will focus on to combat underage and young adult high-risk drinking.
- The intervening variables that have been linked by research to ARMVF are: Easy Retail Access to Alcohol, Low Enforcement of Alcohol Laws, Easy Social Access to Alcohol (parties, peers, family), Social Norms Accepting and/or Encouraging Abusive Drinking (peer, family, community), Promotion of Alcohol Use (advertising, movies, music), and Low or Discount Pricing of Alcohol.

### **Funding Allocation to Coalitions**

- Funding will be distributed statewide to the thirteen community substance abuse prevention coalitions using a modified equity model. A uniform base amount will be awarded to each coalition, in addition to an amount that is dependent upon each county's population of 12-24 year olds. (Please see the attached "Nevada SPF SIG Community Funding Recommendations from the Statewide Epidemiology Workgroup Chart.") This model was chosen because it ensured all counties in the state would receive funding, and because the coalitions have been instrumental in furthering the state's prevention efforts.
- Currently the thirteen coalitions and SAPTA constitute the community substance abuse prevention planning system in Nevada. The coalitions are currently funded by the state through the State Incentive Grant (SIG) and the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant. Through the SIG, the infrastructure of the coalitions and their capacity to implement effective prevention efforts has greatly increased.
- By contracting through the coalitions, the SPF SIG project will impact every county in Nevada.
- Funding will be applied to activities that relate only to the identified priorities of alcohol-related motor vehicle fatalities and reducing underage/young adult high-risk drinking.

### **SPF SIG-Specific Outcomes**

The following measures will be tracked through the SPF SIG. These are outcome measures identified in the state evaluation plan.

- Decrease Alcohol-related Motor Vehicle Fatalities (16-24 year olds)
- Decrease Underage Intoxication
- Decrease Underage Binge Drinking
- Decrease Underage Driving Under the Influence
- Decrease Underage Riding With an Impaired Driver
- Decrease Young Adult Heavy Alcoholic Drinking
- Decrease Young Adult Binge Drinking DUI
- Decrease Young Adult DUI
- National Outcome Measures: Drug/Alcohol Abuse

Coalition Service Area/Counties	16 To 24 Year Old Population	Percentage of Total	Population-based Funding		Base Funding (Total \$1,500,000)	Total Allocation per Service Area/County	Total Coalition SPF SIG Funding Per Yr	Total Coalition SPF SIG Funding: 3 Yrs
			Available (Total \$1,500,000)	Coalition Allocation				
<b>Clark County*</b>								
<b>BEST Coalition</b>	75,767	23.28%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 349,261	\$ 115,385	\$ 464,646	\$ 464,646	\$ 1,393,938
<b>Goshen Coalition</b>	75,767	23.28%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 349,261	\$ 115,385	\$ 464,646	\$ 464,646	\$ 1,393,938
<b>Luz</b>	78,063	23.99%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 359,845	\$ 115,385	\$ 475,230	\$ 475,230	\$ 1,425,689
<b>Community Council on Youth</b>							\$ 143,905	\$ 431,714
Carson County	6,187	1.90%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 28,520	\$ 115,385	\$ 143,905		
<b>Churchill County</b>							\$ 131,233	\$ 393,698
Churchill Coalition	3,438	1.06%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 15,848	\$ 115,385	\$ 131,233		
<b>Frontier Community Coalition</b>							\$ 132,722	\$ 398,165
Humboldt County	2,126	0.65%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 9,800	\$ 115,385	\$ 125,185		
Lander County	778	0.24%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 3,586		\$ 3,586		
Pershing County	857	0.26%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 3,951		\$ 3,951		
<b>Healthy Communities Coalition</b>							\$ 142,573	\$ 427,718
Lyon County	5,020	1.54%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 23,141	\$ 115,385	\$ 138,525		
Mineral County	475	0.15%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 2,190		\$ 2,190		
Storey County	403	0.12%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,858		\$ 1,858		
<b>Inter-Tribal Council**</b>							\$ 138,576	\$ 415,728
Statewide Native American	5,031	1.55%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 23,191	\$ 115,385	\$ 138,576		
<b>Join Together Northern Nevada</b>							\$ 361,450	\$ 1,084,351
Washoe County	53,380	16.40%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 246,066	\$ 115,385	\$ 361,450		
<b>Nye Community Coalition</b>							\$ 134,911	\$ 404,734
Esmeralda County	182	0.06%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 839	\$ 115,385	\$ 116,224		
Nye County	4,054	1.25%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 18,688		\$ 18,688		
<b>PACE</b>							\$ 147,016	\$ 441,049
Elko County	6,862	2.11%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 31,632	\$ 115,385	\$ 147,016		
<b>Partnership of Community Resources</b>							\$ 139,641	\$ 418,923
Douglas County	5,262	1.62%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 24,256	\$ 115,385	\$ 139,641		
<b>Seventh Judicial</b>							\$ 123,452	\$ 370,355
Eureka County	129	0.04%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 595	\$ 115,385	\$ 115,979		
Lincoln County	577	0.18%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 2,660		\$ 2,660		
White Pine County	1,044	0.32%	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 4,813		\$ 4,813		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>325,401</b>	<b>100.00%</b>		<b>\$ 1,500,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,500,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 9,000,000</b>

**Notes:**

\* The Clark County population of 12 to 24 year olds was divided into three groups as follows: the 12 to 24 year old Latinos were totaled and subtracted from the total county population of 12 to 24 year olds. The remaining population was divided in half

\*\* The Statewide Native American population includes all the 12 to 24 year old Native Americans in the State.

J:\2007 LEGISLATIVE FACTOIDS\FINAL VERSIONS - PLACE HERE ONLY AFTER APPROVAL FROM MARIA\SPF SIG SUMMARY SHEET.DOC